DOCKET NO. 4.06cv1476TIA PLAINTIFF MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK 1 5,353.603 Lin-the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DOCKET NO. U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI DEFENDANT PHOT GROVE COOPERATIVE ELEVATOR, INC. SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT 2 In-the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY	O: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450			REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
DOCKET NO. 4.06ev1476TIA PLAINTIFF MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL. PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. 1 5,350,605 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK DATE INCLUDED PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK I DECISION/JUDGEMENT	In Complian	nce with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/o	or 15 U.S.C. §	1116 you are hereby advised that a court	action has been
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	filed in the U.S. I	District CourtE	DMO	on the following X Patents	or Trademarks:
DEFENDANT PROTECTION PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 5,352,603 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Otter Pleadin PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT			U.S. D		MISSOURI
PATENT OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK 1 5,352,605 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleadin PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK 1 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	LAINTIFF			DEFENDANT	
TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleadin PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	MONSANTO COMPANY, ET AL.			PILOT GROVE COOPERATIVE ELEVATOR, INC.	
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT				HOLDER OF PATENT OR T	RADEMARK
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleadin PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK On the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	5,352,605			SEE ATTACHED COM	IPLAIN"
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED	2				
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	3				
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY	f				
DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK OR TRADEMARK I In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	5				
TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK I In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY			Other Pleading
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT				HOLDER OF PATENT OR T	RADEMARK
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT					
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	2				
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	3				
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT	l				_
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	5				
	In the abo	ove—entitled case, the following	ng decision h	as been rendered or judgement issued:	
Since of the second sec		t audame	at-	Lee attache	el

Copy 1-Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3-Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2-Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4-Case file copy

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT BASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

MONSANTO COMPANY and)
MONSANTO TECHNOLOGY LLC,	ý
Plaintiffs,)
) Cause No.: 4:06-CV-01476 TIA
v.)
DV OF CD OLID CO CDCC . TV)
PILOT GROVE COOPERATIVE)
ELEVATOR, INC.,)
)
Defendant.	<u>,</u>

FINAL CONSENT INJUNCTION AND JUDGMENT

- A. Plaintiff Monsanto Company is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri. Monsanto is authorized to do and is doing business in Missouri and in this judicial district.
- B. Plaintiff Monsanto Technology, LLC is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in St. Louis, Missouri. Monsanto Technology, LLC is authorized to do and is doing business in Missouri and in this judicial district. Monsanto Company and Monsanto Technology, LLC are hereinafter referred to collectively as "Monsanto."
- C. Defendant Pilot Grove Cooperative Elevator, Inc. ("Defendant" or "Pilot Grove Coop") is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri. Defendant is an elevator, agricultural retailer and provider of agricultural services.
- D. "Person" means any natural individual or any entity, and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, includes natural persons, associations, joint ventures, limited partnerships, partnerships, corporations, companies, trusts, and public agencies.
- E. Monsanto's Roundup Ready® biotechnology is protected under U.S. Patent Number 5,352,605 (the "'605 patent"). Prior to the events giving rise to this litigation, the '605 Patent was issued to Monsanto and/or Monsanto was the exclusive licensee from the owner and was authorized to enforce the rights relating thereto, including seeking injunctive and monetary relief for the infringement thereof.

A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
JAMES G. WOODWARD, CLERK
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN, HISTRICT OF MISSOURI
BY: A WOULD HE LAWA
DEFUTY OLERK

1

F. Defendant agrees, acknowledges and stipulates that it knowingly infringed upon Monsanto's '605 Patent.

II. Permanent Injunction

- A. It is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that Defendant, individually and/or by, through or with any entity, or any agent, servant, employee, and each person in active concert or participation with Defendant, including its employees, who receives actual notice of this Final Consent Injunction and Judgment by personal service or otherwise, are permanently enjoined from:
 - Directly infringing upon or inducing any other individual or entity to infringe upon U.S. Patent No. 5,352,605; and
 - 2. Cleaning any soybean seed,

so long as Monsanto's U.S. Patent No. 5,352,605 is not found invalid or unenforceable and has not expired.

III. Monetary Provision

A. By consent of the parties, judgment is entered against Defendant in the total amount of Two Hundred Seventy-Five Thousand and 00/100 Dollars (\$275,000.00), each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

IV. Other Provisions

- A. Having read and understood the terms and conditions of this Final Consent Injunction and Judgment, the parties agree that the Final Consent Injunction and Judgment constitutes a fair and adequate resolution of all issues and claims involved in this action between Monsanto and Defendant. The parties have by the signatures herein below recommended this Court issue this Final Consent Injunction and Judgment.
- B. Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling Monsanto to apply to the Court at any time for the enforcement of the provisions herein and/or to remedy a violation of the Final Consent Injunction and Judgment. This Final Consent Injunction and Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of Missouri and the United States.
- C. The Parties acknowledge that they have read this Final Consent Injunction and Judgment and that they have discussed its terms and conditions with an attorney of their choice. The Parties further declare and represent that in executing this Final Consent Injunction and Judgment, they have relied wholly upon their own judgment, belief and knowledge of the nature, extent, and effect of this Final Consent Injunction Judgment, without reliance upon any statement, promise or representation by any party.

Case 4:06-cv-01476-TIA Document 108 Filed 08/21/2008 Page 3 of 3

D. All remaining claims are dismissed, with prejudice.

SO ORDERED:

HONORABLE TERRY I. ADELMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated this 1/26ay of 12008.